THE NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY AND ANTHROPOLOGY

ISSN: 0331-4111
E-ISSN: 2736-075X
Volume 24, No. 1 (June, 2026)
DOI: 10.36108/NJSA/6202.42.0111

Benefits of Community Participation in Primary Healthcare Delivery in Rural Areas of Plateau State, Nigeria

Magaji Jummai Musa and Dung Deborah Gwom
Department of Sociology, Plateau State University Bokkos, Plateau, Nigeria

Abstract
Primary Health Care (PHC) remains the foundation of healthcare delivery in rural Nigeria. Yet, challenges such as inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, workforce shortages, and limited community engagement continue to undermine service effectiveness. This paper examines the benefits of community participation in PHC delivery in rural areas of Plateau State, Nigeria. Using a desk-based research design, the study draws on scholarly literature, policy documents, government reports, and institutional publications on community engagement and rural health systems. The study is anchored on Participatory Development Theory and complemented by Social Capital Theory, which emphasizes the importance of local involvement, collective action, and trust in achieving sustainable development outcomes. Findings reveal that community participation improves healthcare utilization, expands access to essential services, strengthens accountability and transparency, promotes community ownership and empowerment, enhances the quality and responsiveness of care, and contributes to the sustainability of PHC programmes. The study further identifies supportive policy frameworks, functional Ward Development Committees and Health Facility Committees, and stakeholder partnerships as key enablers of effective participation, while inadequate funding, weak institutional linkages, and insecurity remain major constraints. Based on these findings, the paper recommends strengthening community-based health governance structures, enhancing stakeholder collaboration, and increasing support for participatory mechanisms. The paper concludes that effective community participation is essential for improving the quality, inclusiveness, and sustainability of PHC services in rural Plateau State and similar settings.

Keywords: Primary Health Care, community participation, Rural Health, Participatory Development

Introduction

Primary health care (PHC) remains the foundational point of contact between individuals, families, and communities and the wider healthcare system. Rooted in the principles of equity, accessibility, and community ownership, PHC was established in the Alma-Ata Declaration of 1978 and continues to serve as a strategic pathway to universal health coverage (World Health Organization, 1978). In many low- and middle-income countries, including Nigeria, PHC is the principal mechanism for delivering promotive, preventive, curative, and rehabilitative services in rural and underserved areas. Despite this, the PHC system in Nigeria faces persistent challenges such as under-funding, weak infrastructure, staff shortages, and limited community engagement. Studies have shown that community participation is defined as the involvement of local people and their representatives in planning, management, and oversight of health services, can enhance PHC delivery by improving relevance, legitimacy, and sustainability of services (Efuntoye, 2015; Iyanda & Akinyemi, 2017)

In Nigeria, several policy initiatives have sought to mobilize communities to strengthen rural PHC. For example, the establishment of community health committees, ward development committees, local health facility management bodies and volunteer community-health-worker networks reflect efforts to embed participatory governance models in PHC delivery. Recent research indicates that such community ownership and engagement (COE) approaches are positively associated with improved PHC facility functionality and service uptake (Eze *et al*., 2025). At the same time, the literature points to persistent gaps: many committees are weakly institutionalized, lack resources or legal recognition, and community participation sometimes remains tokenistic (Ojielo, Etiaba & Onwujekwe, 2024).

The rural areas of Plateau State, Nigeria, provide a particularly salient context for investigating the impact of community participation on PHC delivery. With a large rural population, diverse cultural and ethnic communities, and periodic episodes of insecurity that affect service delivery, the state's rural PHC system faces complex governance and engagement challenges. While state planning documents (Plateau State Government, 2025) emphasize community engagement and the roll-out of the PHC Under One Roof (PHCUOR) reform, external assessments reveal a divergence in community participation levels, variable committee activity and uneven facility performance (Christian Aid, 2015).

This paper presents a desk-based synthesis of the literature and policy documentation with the aim of exploring how community participation influences PHC delivery in rural areas of Plateau State. Specifically, the study addresses the following questions: (1) What forms of community participation are evident in rural PHC systems in Plateau State? (2) Through what mechanisms does participation affect PHC delivery outcomes (such as access, quality, accountability)? (3) What enabling conditions and barriers determine the effectiveness of community participation in this context? By critically

analyzing these issues, this paper contributes to a deeper sociological understanding of participation in rural health systems and offers insights relevant for policy and practice in Plateau State and similar settings.

Materials and Methods

This study adopts a desk-based research design, which involves systematic collection, review, and synthesis of existing secondary data, scholarly literature, and policy documents related to community participation in primary healthcare (PHC) delivery in rural areas of Plateau State, Nigeria. The desk research method is appropriate because it allows for the integration of empirical findings, theoretical perspectives, and policy frameworks from a range of existing studies without the need for field data collection. Sources included peer-reviewed journal articles, government reports, World Health Organization (WHO) publications, and NGO documentation published between 2010 and 2025 to ensure relevance and contemporary insight. Major databases consulted include Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and African Journals Online (AJOL), using search terms such as community participation, primary healthcare, rural Nigeria, and Plateau State. Selection of materials was guided by their methodological quality, relevance to the Nigerian context, and focus on the intersection between participation and PHC performance outcomes.

Data were analyzed thematically, following the logic of qualitative content analysis. Information was categorized into themes that corresponded with the study objectives: forms of community participation, mechanisms through which participation influences PHC outcomes, and barriers to effective engagement. The analysis drew conceptually on the integrated theoretical framework combining Social Capital Theory and Participatory Development Theory. This theoretical orientation guided interpretation by linking empirical evidence to sociological explanations of power, trust, and collective action in health systems. As a desk-based study, ethical approval was not required; however, ethical standards were maintained by accurately citing all sources and avoiding plagiarism. The methodology thus ensured a transparent, replicable process for synthesizing evidence on how community participation shapes PHC delivery in rural Plateau State, Nigeria.

Conceptualizing Community Participation in PHC

Community participation in primary health care (PHC) is a multifaceted concept, encompassing the involvement of individuals and groups in the planning, provision, monitoring, and evaluation of health services at the grassroots level. The World Health Organization (WHO) posits that participation entails "the process by which people are enabled to become actively and genuinely involved in defining the issues of concern to them, in making decisions … in planning, developing and delivering services and in taking action to achieve change" (Leadership NG, 2024, para. 2). In the Nigerian PHC context, participation has been operationalized through

structures such as Ward Development Committees (WDCs), Village Development Committees (VDCs), Community Health Committees (CHCs), and formalized community health worker networks, which link communities with PHC delivery systems (Bassey & Omoregie, 2024; Iyanda *et al*., 2017). These structures are conceptualized as bridging the "community-system" interface, thereby influencing service relevance, accountability, and sustainability.

From a sociological lens, community participation may be conceptualized along three dimensions: representation and voice, decision-making and resource mobilization, and monitoring and feedback. First, representation and voice involve ensuring that diverse community members (women, youth, traditional leaders, civil society) are included and heard. Empirical work in Nigeria emphasizes that female representation, linkages with existing community structures, and bottom-up approaches enhance perceived ownership (Iyanda *et al*., 2017). Second, decision-making and resource mobilization refers to active involvement of community actors in identifying health needs, setting priorities, allocating local resources (labour, land, funds), and co-designing interventions a form of local governance of PHC (Osagie *et al*., 2015). Third, monitoring and feedback mechanisms enable community actors to track service delivery (staff attendance, stockouts, outreach coverage) and communicate concerns to health-system actors, improving transparency and responsiveness (Obi *et al*., 2024; Health Research Policy & Systems, 2024).

In this study's framework, the notion of "community" is understood as an active collective shaped by network ties, social capital, and agency (Bassey & Omoregie, 2024). By conceptualizing participation in this way, the analysis of rural PHC in Plateau State can attend to how local actors engage in multiple nodes of health governance planning, delivery, and oversight and how system actors enable or constrain this engagement.

Theoretical Framework

The study draws on two theories, which are: Participatory Development Theory by Robert Chambers (1983) and Social Capital Theory by Pierre Bourdieu (1986), later expanded by James Coleman (1988) and Robert Putnam (1993), to explain how inclusive engagement of local actors can strengthen primary healthcare systems, promote trust, and enhance long-term sustainability.

Participatory Development Theory

Participatory Development Theory, associated with the works of Robert Chambers (1983), emerged as a critique of top-down development approaches that often exclude local people from decisions affecting their lives. The theory posits that sustainable development can only be achieved when beneficiaries actively participate in identifying their needs, setting priorities, implementing programmes, and evaluating outcomes. Rather than viewing communities as

passive recipients of externally designed interventions, the theory recognizes them as knowledgeable actors capable of contributing meaningfully to their own development (Chambers, 2021). Central to the theory are the principles of empowerment, inclusiveness, local ownership, equity, and self-reliance, which collectively promote development interventions that are responsive to local realities and needs.

Applied to this study, Participatory Development Theory provides a useful framework for understanding how community involvement influences Primary Health Care (PHC) delivery in rural areas of Plateau State. The theory explains that when community members participate in planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of health programmes, they develop a sense of ownership that enhances accountability, service utilization, and programme sustainability. Since PHC is fundamentally community-centered and designed to address local health needs, the theory offers a strong basis for examining how grassroots participation can improve access, quality, and responsiveness of healthcare services.

Despite its relevance, Participatory Development Theory has certain limitations. The theory primarily emphasizes the process of participation and assumes that community involvement automatically leads to positive development outcomes. However, it pays limited attention to the social relationships, networks, trust, and norms that influence the effectiveness of participation. Communities may participate in development programmes, but the success of such participation often depends on the level of trust among community members, the strength of local networks, and the capacity for collective action. Furthermore, unequal power relations, social divisions, and weak community cohesion may hinder meaningful participation even when opportunities for involvement exist.

To address these limitations, this study complements Participatory Development Theory with Social Capital Theory. While Participatory Development Theory explains the importance of involving communities in PHC processes, Social Capital Theory provides insight into the social conditions that make such participation effective. Specifically, it explains how trust, social networks, shared norms, and collective action facilitate cooperation between community members and health providers, thereby strengthening PHC delivery. Thus, Participatory Development Theory serves as the principal theoretical framework, while Social Capital Theory complements it by explaining the social mechanisms through which participation translates into improved healthcare outcomes in rural Plateau State, Nigeria.

Social Capital Theory

Social Capital Theory, developed by Pierre Bourdieu (1986) and later expanded by Robert Putnam (1993), explains how social networks, trust, and shared norms enable individuals and groups to work together effectively for mutual benefit. The theory posits that social relationships create valuable

resources social capital that facilitate cooperation, coordination, and collective action within communities.

Applied to this study, Social Capital Theory illustrates how trust-based relationships and community networks enhance the delivery of PHC by fostering collective responsibility, promoting volunteerism, improving information flow, and strengthening collaboration between health workers and community members in rural areas of Plateau State, Nigeria.

This theory is used as a supporting framework because it complements Participatory Development Theory: while Participatory Development focuses on the process of engagement, Social Capital Theory explains why community networks and relationships influence the effectiveness of PHC delivery. Together, these theories provide a robust understanding of both the mechanisms and social dynamics of community participation in rural health systems.

Evidence on the Benefits of Community Participation for Primary Health Care (PHC) Delivery

Community participation is a fundamental principle of Primary Health Care (PHC), first emphasized in the Alma-Ata Declaration of 1978. It refers to the active involvement of community members in identifying health needs, planning, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating health services. Empirical evidence from different countries, including Nigeria, demonstrates that community participation significantly improves PHC delivery and health outcomes.

i. **Improved Utilization and Uptake of Health Services:** Studies have shown that when communities participate in health planning and decision-making, they develop a sense of ownership of health programmes, leading to increased utilization of PHC services. Community involvement helps ensure that health interventions are aligned with local needs, beliefs, and priorities, thereby increasing acceptance and patronage of health facilities (Iyanda *et al.,* 2017). Evidence from Nigeria indicates that community participation has contributed to improvements in child nutrition programmes, treatment of diarrhoeal diseases, and management of acute respiratory infections through enhanced community acceptance and support.
ii. **Enhanced Sustainability of Health Programmes:** Community participation promotes sustainability because community members contribute resources, labour, leadership, and local knowledge to health programmes. When people are involved in programme implementation, they are more likely to maintain and support health initiatives even after external funding or support ends. Research in Nigeria found that active community participation guarantees positive changes in the uptake and long-term sustainability of PHC programmes.

iii. **Improved Accountability and Quality of Care:** Community health committees and other participatory structures provide mechanisms through which community members can monitor health workers, oversee facility operations, and ensure accountability in resource utilization. A synthesis of studies from Sub-Saharan Africa found that health committees played important roles in monitoring service quality, reducing absenteeism among health workers, overseeing expenditures, and ensuring that health facilities responded to community concerns. These actions contribute to improved quality and responsiveness of PHC services.

iv. **Increased Access to Health Services:** Community participation helps bridge geographical, cultural, and social barriers to healthcare access. Through community mobilization and local health governance structures, underserved populations are better reached with essential health services. Community-based approaches have been found to improve access to preventive and curative services, especially in rural and resource-poor settings.

v. **Better Health Outcomes:** Evidence suggests that community participation contributes directly to improved health outcomes. A study on tuberculosis treatment in South Africa found that community-based treatment supervision achieved treatment outcomes comparable to, and in some cases better than, facility-based approaches. Similarly, broader reviews of PHC programmes indicate that community participation has contributed to significant health improvements, particularly among poor and marginalized populations.

vi. **Strengthened Community Ownership and Empowerment:** Participation empowers community members by giving them a voice in decisions affecting their health. It builds local leadership, enhances health literacy, and encourages self-reliance. Community engagement enables people to identify health problems, mobilize resources, and collaborate with health authorities to address local challenges. Such empowerment strengthens the relationship between communities and healthcare providers and fosters greater trust in the health system.

Plateau State: Policy and Operational Context

Plateau State, located in Nigeria's North-Central region, presents a unique socio-political and geographical landscape that shapes the delivery of primary healthcare (PHC) services. The state government, in alignment with the National Primary Health Care Development Agency (NPHCDA), has demonstrated institutional commitment to strengthening PHC systems through decentralized governance and improved financing mechanisms. The Plateau State HOPE-PHC Annual Operational Plan (2025) reflects an integrated reform agenda focused on "Health, Opportunity, Prosperity, and Empowerment" (HOPE), which prioritizes community-driven approaches, digital health innovations, and workforce rationalization. These initiatives aim to align local PHC implementation with Nigeria's broader Primary Health Care Under One

Roof (PHCUOR) policy, which seeks to integrate management structures and improve accountability across local government areas (LGAs).

Baseline mapping exercises conducted by the Plateau State Primary Health Care Board (PSPHCB) in 2024 established an evidence base for reform by identifying gaps in PHC infrastructure, workforce deployment, and service coverage, particularly in rural LGAs such as Bokkos, Riyom, and Shendam. The mapping process also enabled the state to begin redistributing human resources and strengthening data systems to support the Basic Health Care Provision Fund (BHCPF) implementation. However, despite these institutional efforts, evaluations by development partners including Christian Aid (2015) and the Nigeria State Health Investment Project (NSHIP) have highlighted structural and operational constraints that continue to impede equitable service delivery. These include inadequate facility maintenance, inconsistent supply chains for essential medicines, low staff motivation, and poor supervision mechanisms.

In addition, insecurity in certain rural areas, often resulting from farmer–herder conflicts and ethno-religious tensions, continues to undermine health service continuity and community mobilization efforts. Periodic displacement of population has also led to the interruption of immunization campaigns, antenatal services, and community outreach programmes. The fragility of local governance structures in conflict-affected LGAs further limits the sustainability of community participation initiatives, as local health committees often struggle to function effectively under such conditions (Plateau State Government, 2025). Consequently, the operational context of PHC delivery in Plateau State illustrates the tension between policy ambition and practical implementation, where well-articulated reforms coexist with systemic bottlenecks that constrain participatory governance and equitable healthcare outcomes.

Enablers and Barriers to Effective Participation

Enablers to Effective Community Participation: A range of institutional, organizational, and contextual factors have been identified as critical to effective community participation in primary healthcare (PHC) delivery within Plateau State and similar rural Nigerian contexts.

i. **Supportive Policy and Institutional Frameworks:** A key enabler is the existence of supportive policies, particularly the Primary Health Care Under One Roof (PHCUOR) reform. PHCUOR establishes a unified governance structure for PHC at the state and local levels, promoting institutional coherence and accountability (NPHCDA, 2016). When implemented effectively, PHCUOR enhances community representation in planning and decision-making by creating clear channels for communication between ward development committees (WDCs), local government health authorities, and the State Primary Health Care Board (SPHCB). This alignment reduces fragmentation and facilitates the

allocation of resources in a manner that reflects local health priorities (Ugwu, 2020).

ii. **Functional Community Structures**: The presence of functional community structures, such as health facility committees and ward development committees, strengthens local ownership and fosters social accountability. These committees act as a bridge between service users and providers, ensuring that community voices influence service delivery decisions. Studies in Kenya and Nigeria indicate that such participatory structures increase transparency, responsiveness, and community trust in PHC systems (Karuga *et al.*, 2022). The sustainability of these structures often depends on training, local legitimacy, and regular engagement with health managers.

iii. **Partner Support:** Support from non-governmental organizations (NGOs), donor agencies, and faith-based groups can play a catalytic role in fostering effective participation. For example, Christian Aid's community health governance interventions in Plateau and Benue States demonstrated that modest financial support and skills training can significantly improve committee functionality and women's participation in PHC oversight (Christian Aid, 2015). Such support encourages innovation and strengthens coordination, particularly where government systems are weak or under-resourced.

Barriers to Effective Community Participation: Despite these enablers, multiple barriers continue to constrain the effectiveness of community participation in PHC delivery.

i. **Weak Legal and Institutional Linkages:** Many community committees operate informally without statutory authority or mechanisms to influence budget allocations, staffing, or planning processes. Consequently, their recommendations often lack enforcement power or are disregarded by higher-level administrators (Abimbola *et al*., 2016; NPHCDA, 2016). This disconnect undermines accountability and discourages sustained community engagement.

ii. **Resource Constraints:** Chronic underfunding of the PHC sector in Plateau State reduces the scope of activities that community structures can undertake, even when motivated and well-organized. Limited funding affects facility maintenance, procurement of essential drugs, and transportation for outreach programmes (Ogah, 2024; Christian Aid, 2015). Without sufficient state co-funding or external support, local committees struggle to maintain functional engagement or respond effectively to local health needs.

iii. **Contextual Insecurity:** Episodes of inter-communal violence, displacement, and general insecurity pose significant operational challenges. Insecurity disrupts routine service delivery, weakens health worker presence, and hinders community mobilization, particularly in conflict-affected LGAs such as Barkin Ladi and Riyom (Plateau State

Government, 2025). These conditions not only reduce participation but also erode trust between communities and formal health institutions, making recovery and rebuilding of PHC systems more difficult.

Implications for PHC Delivery in Rural Plateau

Strengthening community and stakeholder participation in Plateau State requires translating policy intentions into enforceable practice through a combination of institutional reforms, capacity development, and resource mobilization.

Firstly**, institutionalizing and resourcing community health committees** through formal recognition within the State Primary Health Care Board (SPHCB) structure can enhance their legitimacy and influence. Providing modest, transparent seed funding to these committees would enable local initiatives in facility maintenance, outreach, and health education (NPHCDA, 2016; Karuga *et al*., 2022).

Secondly, **investing in capacity building** for committee members is essential. Targeted training in participatory planning, financial management, gender inclusion, and community monitoring can enhance both accountability and the quality of decision-making (Christian Aid, 2015). Furthermore**, linking community financing to equity safeguards** for example, through state matching grants or fee exemptions for vulnerable households can prevent exclusion of poorer community members from PHC services (Kaduru *et al*., 2025).

Finally, **integrating community actors into contingency and security planning** ensures that PHC systems remain operational during periods of insecurity. Involving local committees in early warning, response coordination, and post-crisis recovery planning would foster resilience and continuity in essential health services (Plateau State Government, 2025).

Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper establishes that sustainable and effective Primary Health Care (PHC) delivery in rural Plateau State depends largely on the depth and quality of community and stakeholder participation. Drawing on insights from Participatory Development, Social Capital, and Systems Theories, it is evident that when local actors are actively engaged in decision-making, planning, and implementation, PHC programs become more responsive, inclusive, and sustainable. Community participation fosters ownership, accountability, and trust, while stakeholder collaboration enhances resource mobilization and coordination. However, challenges such as limited awareness, weak governance structures, inadequate incentives, and gender inequalities continue to hinder effective participation. Therefore, deliberate efforts are required to strengthen participatory mechanisms and institutional frameworks that support local engagement.

Recommendations

Hence, to translate these insights into actionable outcomes and overcome the identified challenges, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen community and stakeholder participation for improved Primary Health Care (PHC) delivery in rural areas in Plateau State:

1. **Institutionalize Community Participation:** Ensure that community involvement is embedded in all stages of PHC planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation to foster ownership and accountability.
2. **Strengthen Social Capital:** Promote community-based health committees and traditional institutions as platforms for collaboration, mutual support, and collective problem-solving.
3. **Enhance Stakeholder Collaboration:** Improve coordination between government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), development partners, and community groups to avoid duplication of efforts and enhance efficiency.
4. **Promote Gender and Youth Inclusion:** Empower women and young people to take leadership roles in community health structures to ensure equity and broader representation in health decision-making.
5. **Build Capacity and Provide Incentives:** Offer continuous training, recognition, and incentives both financial and non-financial to motivate community health volunteers and sustain their long-term engagement.
6. **Strengthen Local Governance:** Improve transparency, accountability, and autonomy of local health governance structures to reduce political interference and enhance service delivery.

References

Abimbola, S., Negin, J., Jan, S., & Martiniuk, A. (2016). Towards people-centred health systems: A multi-level framework for analysing primary health care governance in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy and Planning,* 31(5), 607–617. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czv122.

Bassey, I. E., & Omoregie, E. F. (2024). Community involvement: A tool for successful implementation of primary health care services. *Direct Research Journal of Public Health and Environmental Technology,* 9(3), 92-105. https://doi.org/10.26765/DRJPHET68411812.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). *The Forms of Capital.* In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education.* Greenwood Press.

Chambers, R. (2021). *Whose reality counts? Putting the first last (Revised ed.).* Intermediate Technology Publications.

Christian Aid. (2015). *Community engagement and service delivery improvement in Plateau State health sector: An evaluation report.* Christian Aid Nigeria.

Federal Ministry of Health. (2019). *National Health Policy of the Federal Republic of Nigeria.* Abuja: FMOH Press.

Health Research Policy and Systems. (2024). Strengthening the effectiveness of the community health system: Assessing the factors that enhance or constrain the delivery of health services within communities in Nigeria. *Health Research Policy & Systems.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-024-01204-9.

Iyanda, A. E., Osayomi, T., Akinyemi, O. O., & Adeniyi, O. V. (2017). Community participation and utilization of primary health care services in Nigeria: Implications for sustainable health development. *African Journal of Primary Health Care & Family Medicine,* 9(1), 1–8.

Kaduru, C., Adepoju, A., & Adetokunboh, O. (2025). Equity and community financing in Nigeria's primary health care system. *African Journal of Health Economics,* 14(2), 45–63.

Karuga, R. N., Musiega, A., & Ochieng, J. (2022). Strengthening community health committees to improve accountability and local governance in primary health care systems. *Global Health Action,* 15(1), 2103429.

Leadership NG. (2024). Community participation key to stronger primary healthcare, says Aig-Imoukhuede. *Leadership Nigeria.* https://leadership.ng/community-participation-key-to-stronger-primary-healthcare-says-aig-imoukhuede/.

Marston, C., Renedo, A., & Miles, S. (2020). Community participation is crucial in a pandemic. *The Lancet,* 395(10238), 1676–1678. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31054-0.

McCoy, D. C., Hall, J. A., & Ridge, M. (2012). A systematic review of the literature for evidence on health facility committees in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy and Planning,* 27(6), 449–466. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czr077.

National Primary Health Care Development Agency (NPHCDA). (2016). *Primary Health Care Under One Roof (PHCUOR) implementation manual.* Abuja: NPHCDA.

National Primary Health Care Development Agency. (2023). *Operational guidelines for revitalization of primary healthcare under one roof.* Abuja: NPHCDA.

Nigeria State Health Investment Project (NSHIP). (2020). *Health systems strengthening and service utilisation report.* World Bank.

Ogah, J. O. (2024). Financing rural primary healthcare in Nigeria: The role of state and community partnerships. *Nigerian Journal of Public Administration and Local Government,* 27(1), 72–89.

Olaniran, A., Madaj, B., Bar-Zeev, S., & Van den Broek, N. (2017). The roles of community health workers who provide maternal and newborn health services: Case studies from Africa and Asia. *BMJ Global Health,* 2(4), e000423. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000423.

Osagie, J., Aitokuehi, I., & Iroroturi, O. (2015). The level of community participation in strengthening Primary Health Care in Delta State, Nigeria: Are improvements needed? *International Journal of Advanced Research,* 3(11), 1486-1494.

Plateau State Government. (2025). *Plateau State HOPE Primary Health Care Annual Operational Plan 2025.* Plateau State Primary Health Care Board.

Putnam, R. D. (1993). *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.

Rifkin, S. B. (2014). Examining the links between community participation and health outcomes: A review of the literature. *Health Policy and Planning,* 29(Suppl. 2), ii98–ii106. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czu076.

Ugwu, P. E. (2020). Institutionalising participatory governance in Nigeria's PHC system: A review of the PHCUOR experience. *African Journal of Governance and Development,* 9(2), 92–110.

United Nations Children's Fund. (2019). *Community engagement for quality, equitable and resilient health services*. UNICEF.

Uzochukwu, B. S. C., Onwujekwe, O. E., Akpala, C. O., & Nwobi, E. A. (2004). Community satisfaction with the quality of maternal and child health services in southeast Nigeria. *East African Medical Journal,* 81(6), 293–299.

World Bank. (2024). *Community engagement for resilient health systems: Evidence from global practice.* Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

World Health Organization. (1978). *Declaration of Alma-Ata: International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata, USSR,* 6–12 September 1978. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2008). *Primary health care: Now more than ever*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Community engagement: A health promotion guide for universal health coverage in the hands of the people*. World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Operational framework for primary health care: Transforming vision into action.* Geneva: WHO Press.

Zakus, J. D. L., & Lysack, C. L. (1998). Revisiting community participation. *Health Policy and Planning,* 13(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/13.1.1.